

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/866,781	05/30/2001	Daping Chu	109677 5070 EXAMINER		
25944 7	7590 12/16/2005				
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			HU, SHO	HU, SHOUXIANG	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALLAINDIG	71, VII 22320		2811		
		DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		——————————————————————————————————————			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/866,781	CHU, DAPING			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shouxiang Hu	2811			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Oc</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 2,6,17-19 and 21-30 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 2,6,17-19 and 21-30 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the following of behind in abeyance. See the following of the drawing	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claims 2, 6, 17-19 and 21-30 are objected to because of the following informalities and/or defects:

Claim 21 recites the term of "one for each row", but needs to further clarify whether each of the recited comparators is only for one row or for each of the every rows.

Claim 24 recites the term of "provided corresponding", but needs to further clarify in which way(s) the recited comparators are corresponding with the recited electrodes.

In claim 26, the term of "their electrode" should read as: --third electrode--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 21-22 and 24-28, as being best understood in view of the claim objections above, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bartlett (Bartlett et al., US 3,599,185).

Bartlett discloses a memory device (Figs. 1-5), comprising: first electrodes (DL, or 18); second electrode (CL or 20); third electrodes (BL or 16); a 2-D memory array having cells each having a first layer (14, piezoelectric, which is also naturally a ferroelectric material) and a second layer (12, ferroelectric); comparators (see the circuitries between each pair of the first and third electrodes, especially see Figs. 4 and 5), each for a row of the memory array and can naturally function to compare the first voltage from the first layer and the second voltage from the second layer through first and second inputs connected respectively to the first and third electrodes, so as to register a storage signal.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 17-19, 23, 29 and 30, as being best understood in view of the claim objections above are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartlett in view of Adachi (Adachi et al., JP 4-38866, 2/10/1992; of record).

The disclosure of Barlett is discussed as applied claims 2, 21-22 and 24-28 above.

Although Bartlett does not expressly disclose the parallel and perpendicular features for the first, second and third electrodes, it is art known that, as evidenced in

Art Unit: 2811

Adachi (Figs. 1, 2 and 7), such parallel and perpendicular arrangements for the electrodes (see the parallel electrodes on each level, and the perpendicular arrangements for electrodes between neighboring levels) are desirable for forming reducing electrode size and/or for reducing potential shortening between the electrodes.

Therefore, it would have being obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the parallel and perpendicular electrodes arrangements of Adachi into the device of Bartlett, so that a memory device with reduced electrode size and/or with reduced potential shortening between the electrodes would be obtained.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 08/02/2005 and on 10/04/2005 with respect to the above rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/866,781

Art Unit: 2811

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 5

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is 571-272-1654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SH

December 10, 2005

SHOUXIANG HU PRIMARY EXAMINER